

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,602	04/01/2002	Frank Rosset	A56.12-0001	A56.12-0001 8449	
75	90 10/07/2005		EXAM	INER	
Westman Champlin & Kelly International Centre Suite 1600 900 Second Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55402-2319			BADII, BI	BADII, BEHRANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200:	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/018,602	ROSSET ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Behrang Badii	3621		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Behrang Badii	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two ments	on of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	,	TE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			/DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Discription: The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Discription: The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	ntice of Anneal will no	at he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
The arguments are not persuasive.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner N	lo(s)				
13. Other:						
	J.	Primay Fram Au 3621	ine/			
	l	AU 3621				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051002

U